Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 49613 INDUSTRIAL ASPHALT, LLC RN103140695 Docket No. 2014-1655-EAQ-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

EAQ

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Hays Quarry, 6025 Farm-to-Market Road 967, Buda, Hays County

Type of Operation:

Quarry

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: July 1, 2016

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$23,175

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$4,635 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$18,540

Total Due to General Revenue: \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Unclassified

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A **Applicable Penalty Policy:** April 2014

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: July 23, 2014 and August 4, 2014

Date(s) of NOE(s): October 1, 2014

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 49613 INDUSTRIAL ASPHALT, LLC RN103140695 Docket No. 2014-1655-EAQ-E

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to obtain approval of a modification to an approved Water Pollution Abatement Plan ("WPAP") prior to initiating construction of the modification. Specifically, an unpaved service in-plant road, unpaved parking areas, and an unpaved expansion of the weigh station/entrance road totaling approximately 2.25 acres were constructed without review or approval [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 213.4(j)(3) and WPAP No. 11-10110302 Standard Condition No. 6].
- 2. Failed to maintain the best management practices ("BMPs"). Specifically, BMPs were not maintained around sensitive features S-86, S-97, and S-151. Additionally, these three sensitive features were removed and the buffer zone was not maintained [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 213.4(k) and WPAP No. 11-10110302 Standard Conditions No. 2].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

N/A

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require the Respondent to:

- a. Within 30 days:
- i. Update operational procedures and conduct employee training to ensure that BMPs and buffer zones around sensitive features are maintained; and
- ii. Submit a WPAP modification application which reflects the current Site conditions along with the associated application fee.
- b. Within 45 days, submit written certification of compliance with a.
- c. Respond completely and adequately, as determined by the TCEQ, to all requests for information concerning the WPAP application within 30 days after the date of such requests or by any other deadline specified in writing.
- d. Within 120 days, obtain approval of a Edwards Aquifer WPAP modification for the Site.
- e. Within 135 days, submit written certification of compliance with d.

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 49613 INDUSTRIAL ASPHALT, LLC RN103140695 Docket No. 2014-1655-EAQ-E

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A
Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A
SOAH Referral Date: N/A
Hearing Date(s): N/A
Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Had Darling, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 1, MC 169, (512) 239-2520; Michael Parrish, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-2548

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Chuck Fuller, Vice President, INDUSTRIAL ASPHALT, LLC, 9020

North Capitol of Texas Highway, Building 2, Suite 250, Austin, Texas 78759

Anne Lee Benedict, Secretary, INDUSTRIAL ASPHALT, LLC, 9020 North Capitol of

Texas Highway, Builing 2, Suite 250, Austin, Texas 78759

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

		·			
ž			,		

	Panalty Calculation Workshoot (PCW)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Policy Revision 4 (Ap	Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)	Revision March 26, 2014
TCEQ DATES Assigned PCW	20-Oct-2014 5-Nov-2014 Screening 21-Oct-2014 EPA Due	
RESPONDENT/FACILI		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	INDUSTRIAL ASPHALT, LLC RN103140695	
Facility/Site Region	11-Austin Major/Minor Source Major	
CASE INFORMATION		
Enf./Case ID No.	49613 No. of Violations 2 2014-1655-EAQ-E Order Type 1660	
Media Program(s)		
Multi-Media	Enf. Coordinator Lanae Foard	
Admin. Penalty \$ L	imit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$25,000	Team 1
	Penalty Calculation Section	
TOTAL BASE PENA	LTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1	\$22,500
Subtotals 2-7 are ob	/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 tained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.	
Compliance His	story 3.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$675
Notes	Enhancement for two NOVs with dissimilar violations and a reduction for one notice of intent.	
Culpability	No 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4	\$0
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.	
Good Faith Effo	ort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5	\$0
Economic Bene	Total EB Amounts \$810 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Cost of Compliance \$12,750	\$0
SUM OF SUBTOTAL	terroconsoles control as the control	\$23,175
	S JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% Adjustment Subtotal by the indicated percentage.	\$0
Notes		
,	Final Penalty Amount	\$23,175
STATUTORY LIMIT	ADJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penalty	\$23,175
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Per	20.0% Reduction Adjustment alty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)	-\$4,635
Notes	Deferral offered for expedited settlement.	

\$18,540

PAYABLE PENALTY

PCW

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)

PCW Revision March 26, 2014

Respondent INDUSTRIAL ASPHALT, LLC

Case ID No. 49613

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN103140695 Media [Statute] Edwards Aquifer

Enf. Coordinator Lanae Foard

Compliance History Worksheet

	Component	ry Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.	
	NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%	
		Other written NOVs	2	4%	
		Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%	
	Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%	
	Judgments and Consent	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%	
	Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%	
	Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%	
	Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%	
Audits		Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	1	-1%	
		Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%	
			ease Enter Yes or No	T	
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
	Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	
	:	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%	
		Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	ototal 2)	30
Re	peat Violator (Subtotal 3)			
	No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3)	09
Col	mpliance Histo	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		a Fugitive	
	Satisfactory	Performer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)	09
Co	mpliance Histo	ry Summary			
	Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for two NOVs with dissimilar violations and a reduction for one no	tice of intent.		
Ein-	d Compliance b	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S	Subtotals 2,	3, & 7) [3%
rifid	n compnance r	listory Adjustment Final Adjustment Percent		· ·	

Respondent INDUSTRIAL ASPHAIT, LLC Resp Ent. Reference No. RN103140955 Media (Statute) Everage Aguildre Enf. Coordinator Rule Cite(s) R		21-Oct-2014 DOCKET NO. 2014-1655-EAQ-E	PCW
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN103140695 Media [Statute] Ewards Aquire Enf. Coordinator Lanas Foard Violation Number Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 213.4(j)(3) and Water Pollution Abatement Plan ("WPAP") Falled to obtain approval of a modification to an approved WPAP prior to initiating construction of the modification, as documented during an investigation conducted on July 23 and August 4, 2014. Specifically, an unspect device in-plant it road, out of the modification of the mod			
Media Statute Edwards Aquifer Enf. Coordinator Lanse Deard			evision March 26, 2014
Rule Cite(s) Rule			
Violation Number 1 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 213.4()(3) and Water Pollution Abatement Plan ("WPAP") Ro. 11-10110302 Standard Condition No. 6 Falled to obtain approval of a modification to an approval WPAP prior to initiating construction of the medification, as decumented during an investigation conducted on July 23 and August 4, 2014. Specifically, an unpayed service in-plant road on July 23 and August 4, 2014. Specifically, an unpayed service in-plant road on July 23 and August 4, 2014. Specifically, an unpayed service in-plant road on July 23 and August 4, 2014. Specifically, an unpayed service in-plant road on February area, and an unpayed expansion of the weigh station/entrance road totaling approximately 2.25 acres were constructed without review or approval. Base Penalty \$25,000			
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 213.4(j)(3) and Water Pollution Abatement Plan ("WPAP") No. 11-0110302 Standard Condition No. 6 Falled to obtain approval of am odification to an approved WPAP prior to initiating construction of the modification, as documented during an investigation conducted on July 23 and August 4, 2014. Specifically, an unpayed service in-pilent road, unpaxed parking areas, and an unpayed expansion of the weigh station/entrance road totaling approximately 2.25 areas were constructed without review or approval. Sease Penalty \$25,000 > Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Noderate Minor Percent 0.0% > Programmatic Matrix Falsification Noderate Minor Noderate Noder	and the second of the second o		
No. 11-10110302 Standard Condition No. 6			
Violation Description Construction of the modification, as documented during an investigation conducted on July 23 and August 4, 2014. Specifically, an unpawed service in-plant road, unpawed parking areas, and an unpawed expansion of the weigh station/entrance road totaling approximately 2.25 acres were constructed without review or approval. Sase Penalty \$25,000	Rule Cite(s)	1	
Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0% Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 15.0% Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met. 100% of the rule requirement was not met. Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met. 4 Adjustment \$21,250 \$3,750 \$3,750 \$10lation Events Number of Violation Events 3 90 Number of violation days 4 daily Weekly Manual Major Moderate Minor Percent 15.0% \$3,750 \$3,750 \$10lation Events Number of Violation Events 3 90 Number of violation days 4 daily Weekly Major Moderate Minor Weekly Major Moderate Minor Percent 15.0% \$3,750 \$3,750 \$10lation Events Number of Violation Events 3 90 Number of violation days 4 daily Weekly Major Moderate Minor Weekly Major Moderate Minor Percent 15.0% \$3,750 \$11,250 \$3,750 \$11,250 \$4,750 \$4,750 \$5,750 \$5,750 \$5,750 \$6,750 \$6,750 \$6,750 \$7,750 \$1	Violation Description	construction of the modification, as documented during an investigation conducted on July 23 and August 4, 2014. Specifically, an unpaved service in-plant road, unpaved parking areas, and an unpaved expansion of the weigh station/entrance road totaling approximately 2.25 acres were constructed without review or	
Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Potential Percent 0.0% > Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 15.0%		Base Penalty[\$25,000
Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Percent 0.0% > Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor A Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met. Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met.	>> Environmental. Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix	
Percent 0.0% Percent 0.0% Percent 0.0% Percent 15.0% Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 15.0% Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met. Matrix Notes 100% of the rul		Harm	
> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 15.0%	33233333 r	Major Moderate Minor	
> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Nation Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Major Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Minor Falsification Major Minor Falsification Major Minor Falsification Major Minor Fals		P	
Matrix Notes Number of Violation Events 3 90 Number of violation days	Potential	Percent 0.0%	
Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met. 15.0%	>Programmatic Matrix		
Matrix Notes Number of Violation Events Sale		Major Moderate Minor	
Adjustment \$21,250 \$3,750 Sample		X Percent 15.0%	
Adjustment \$21,250 \$3,750 colation Events Number of Violation Events 3 90 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly weekly monthly x semiannual annual single event Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Proof Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction \$0 Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRE/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$11,250 conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588			
Adjustment \$21,250 \$3,750 Sample	Matulia		
Adjustment \$21,250 \$3,750 colation Events Number of Violation Events 3 90 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly x quarterly with an x semianual annual single event	2012-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events Adaly	Notes		
Say	-		
Number of Violation Events 3 90 Number of violation days daily		Adjustment \$21,250	
Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events 3 90 Number of Violation days		Г	¢2 75 0
Number of Violation Events 3 90 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). and Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Defore NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$11,250 Connomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588		taran da kacamatan	\$3,730
Number of Violation Events 3 90 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event	iolation Events		
Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014).			
weekly monthly and quarterly semiannual annual single event Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Pood Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$11,250 Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588	Number of V	iolation Events 3 90 Number of violation days	
Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Dod Faith Efforts to Comply Extraordinary Ordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$11,250 Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588			
mark only one with an x Mark only one with an x Mark only one with an x Mark only one with an x Mark only one with an x Mark only one with an x Mark only one with an x Mark only semiannual annual annual annual single event Mark only one with an x Mark one with an			
Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014).			
Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Dodd Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A			\$11.250
Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Proof Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$11,250 conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588	with an x		\$11,230
Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Proof Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A			
Three monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the screening date (October 21, 2014). Proof Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A		single event	
screening date (October 21, 2014). October 21, 2014 October			
screening date (October 21, 2014). Dodd Faith Efforts to Comply Defore NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$11,250 Conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588	Three moni	thly events are recommended from the investigation date (July 23, 2014) to the	
Dod Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$11,250 onomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588	Three mon		
Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$11,250 Denomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588	L		
Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$11,250 onomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588		·	40
Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$11,250 onomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588	od Faith Efforts to Comp		\$0
Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$11,250 onomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588			
N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$11,250 conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588		Extraoruniary	
Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$11,250 conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588			
this violation. Violation Subtotal \$11,250 conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588			
Violation Subtotal \$11,250 conomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588	# # #		
onomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588	# #	N/A x (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	
onomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588	* #	N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	
onomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	
Estimated EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total \$11,588		N/A x (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	\$11.250
		N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal	\$11,250
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$11,588		N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal	\$11,250
inis violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$11,588	conomic Benefit (EB) for 1	N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal this violation Statutory Limit Test	
	conomic Benefit (EB) for 1	N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal this violation Statutory Limit Test d EB Amount \$795 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$11,588

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent INDUSTRIAL ASPHALT, LLC Case ID No. 49613 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN103140695 Years of Media Edwards Aquifer **Percent Interest** Depreciation Violation No. 1 15 5.0 Item Cost Date Required Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs **EB** Amount Item Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** Equipment 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Buildings 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 **Engineering/Construction** 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Land 0.00 \$0 \$0 Record Keeping System \$0 n/a \$0 Training/Sampling 0.00 \$0 \$0 n/a Remediation/Disposal 0.00 \$0 \$0 n/a **Permit Costs** 0.00 \$0 n/a Other (as needed) 23-Jul-2014 30-Oct-2015 1.27 \$795 Estimated cost (\$10,000 modification fee and \$2,500 for the cost to develop and submit the application) to obtain approval of the modification. Date required is the investigation date. Final date is the expected Notes for DELAYED costs date of compliance. ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) **Avoided Costs** 0.00 Disposal \$0 \$0 \$0 Personnel 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Supplies/Equipment 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Financial Assurance [2] 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.00 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.00 \$0 \$0 Notes for AVOIDED costs

TOTAL

\$795

\$12,500

Approx. Cost of Compliance

	INDUSTRIAL ASPHALT, LLC	Docket No. 2014-1655-EAQ-E	PCW Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Case ID No.			PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media [Statute]			
Enf. Coordinator			
Violation Number	process of the second s		
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 213.4(k) a	nd WPAP No. 11-10110302 Standard Condit No. 2	ions
	Failed to maintain the best manage	ement practices ("BMPs"), as documented du	ring
Violation Description	an investigation conducted on July not maintained around sensitive	23 and August 4, 2014. Specifically, BMPs of features S-86, S-97, and S-151. Additional were removed and the buffer zone was not maintained.	were
		Base Per	nalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Health Matri	×	
Release	Harm Major Moderate Mir	nor	
OR Actual	P		
Potential	×	Percent 15.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix			
Falsification	Major Moderate Mir	nor	
		Percent 0.0%	
WIATTIY II	not exceed levels that are protective	oe exposed to significant amounts of pollutar of human health or environmental receptors	
	result of the	violations.	<u> </u>
		Adjustment \$21	.,250

			\$3,750
Violation Events			
*			
Number of V	Violation Events 3.	Number of violation days	
	daily		
	weekly		
mark only one	manthly		
with an x	quarterly x	Violation Base Per	nalty \$11,250
	Semiannual annual		
	single event		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Three quarter	rly events, one for each location, are	recommended from the investigation date (July
	23, 2014) to the screening		
L			
Good Faith Efforts to Com		Redu	ction \$0
	Before NOE/NOV NOE/N Extraordinary	OV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Ordinary		
	N/A X (mark v	with x)	
	Notes The Respondent do	es not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
		Violation Sub	total \$11,250
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit Tes	it
	ed EB Amount	\$16 Violation Final Penalty	
	This violation	Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for lin	mits) \$11,588

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent INDUSTRIAL ASPHALT, LLC Case ID No. 49613 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN103140695 Percent Interest Depreciation Media Edwards Aquifer Violation No. 2 15 5.0 Item Cost Date Required Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs **EB** Amount Item Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** Equipment 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Buildings 0.00 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.00 \$0 \$0 Engineering/Construction 0.00 <u>\$0</u> \$0 \$0 0.00 \$0 Land n/a \$0 Record Keeping System 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 \$250 Training/Sampling 30-Oct-2015 1.27 \$16 n/a Remediation/Disposal 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 **Permit Costs** 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Other (as needed) 0.00 n/a Estimated cost to update operational procedures and conduct employee training to ensure that BMPs and Notes for DELAYED costs buffer zones around sensitive features are maintained. Date required is the investigation date. Final date is the expected date of compliance. ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) **Avoided Costs** Disposal 0.00 \$0 Personnel 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Supplies/Equipment 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Financial Assurance [2] 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.00 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs

\$250

Approx. Cost of Compliance

TOTAL

\$16

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility.

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



N/A

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN603966698, RN103140695, Rating Year 2014 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2009, through August 31, 2014.

Customer, Respondent, Owner/Operator:	or CN603966698, INDUSTRIA	AL ASPHALT, LLC	Classification: S.	ATISFACTORY	Rating	2.07
Regulated Entity:	RN103140695, HAYS QUA	RRY	Classification: U	NCLASSIFIED	Rating	:
Complexity Points:	9		Repeat Violator:	NO		
CH Group:	04 - Mining					
Location:	6025 FM 967 IN BUDA, HA	AYS COUNTY, TEXA	NS			
TCEQ Region:	REGION 11 - AUSTIN					***************************************
ID Number(s): AIR NEW SOURCE PERMIT AIR NEW SOURCE PERMIT EDWARDS AQUIFER PERMI EDWARDS AQUIFER PERMI STORMWATER PERMIT TXR	S REGISTRATION 86180 T 11-03120103 T 11-03120103A	AIR N EDW/ EDW/	IEW SOURCE PERMITS IEW SOURCE PERMITS ARDS AQUIFER PERMIT ARDS AQUIFER PERMITER EEGATES REGISTRATIO	S REGISTRATION Γ 11-10110302 Γ 11-14050802	98848	
Compliance History Per	iod: September 01, 2009	to August 31, 201	4 Rating Year:	2014 Ra	ting Date:	09/01/2014
Date Compliance Histor	y Report Prepared:	December 04, 20:	14			***************************************
Agency Decision Requir	ing Compliance History:	Enforceme	nt			
Component Period Sele			, 2014			
-	Contact for Additional In	formation Reg	arding This Compli	ance History		
Name: Lanae Foard			•	512) 239-2554		
	nce and/or operation for the for change in ownership/operator current owner/operator?			YES NO	·	
owner(s)/operator(s)?	are the phor	N/A				
5) If YES , when did the charoccur?	nge(s) in owner or operator	N/A				
Components (Multim	edia) for the Site Are	Listed in Sec	tions A - J			
A. Final Orders, court j	judgments, and consent	decrees:				
B. Criminal convictions N/A	5:					
C. Chronic excessive e	missions events:					
D. The approval dates	of investigations (CCED	S Inv. Track. N	o.):			

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

04/07/2014 (1150294) CN603966698

Citation:

Self Report? NO

30 TAC Chapter 281, SubChapter A 281.25(a)(4)

Description:

Multi-Sector Industrial General Permit PERMIT

Failure to implement Best Management Practices (BMPs) sufficient enough to minimize the off-site tracking of raw materials (i.e. dust, particulates, and materials from

industrial activity).

2

Date: Self Report?

08/07/2014

(1184502)

CN603966698

Minor

Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 281, SubChapter A 281.25(a)(4)

Multi-Sector Industrial General Permit PERMIT

Description:

Failure to implement Best Management Practices (BMPs) sufficient enough to minimize

the off-site tracking of raw materials (i.e. dust, particulates, and materials from

industrial activity).

Self Report?

Classification:

Classification:

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 281, SubChapter A 281.25(a)(4)

TXR050000 PERMIT

Description:

The facility failed to implement measures to address soil erosion along the portion of

the dry drainage leading to outfall 001.

Environmental audits:

Notice of Intent Date:

08/27/2012 (1035664)

No DOV Associated

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	8	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§ .	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
INDUSTRIAL ASPHALT, LLC	§	
RN103140695		ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2014-1655-EAQ-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On _______, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding INDUSTRIAL ASPHALT, LLC ("Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a quarry located at 6025 Farm-to-Market Road 967 in Buda, Hays County, Texas (the "Site").
- 2. The Site adjoins, is contiguous with, surrounds, or is near or adjacent to water in the state as defined in Tex. WATER CODE § 26.001(5).
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about October 6, 2014.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Twenty-Three Thousand One Hundred Seventy-Five Dollars (\$23,175) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Eighteen Thousand Five Hundred Forty Dollars (\$18,540) of the administrative penalty and Four

Thousand Six Hundred Thirty-Five Dollars (\$4,635) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to obtain approval of a modification to an approved Water Pollution Abatement Plan ("WPAP") prior to initiating construction of the modification, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 213.4(j)(3) and WPAP No. 11-10110302 Standard Condition No. 6, as documented during an investigation conducted on July 23 and August 4, 2014. Specifically, an unpaved service in-plant road, unpaved parking areas, and an unpaved expansion of the weigh station/entrance road totaling approximately 2.25 acres were constructed without review or approval.
- 2. Failed to maintain the best management practices ("BMPs"), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 213.4(k) and WPAP No. 11-10110302 Standard Conditions No. 2, as documented during an investigation conducted on July 23 and August 4, 2014. Specifically, BMPs were not maintained around sensitive features S-86, S-97, and S-151. Additionally, these three sensitive features were removed and the buffer zone was not maintained.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: INDUSTRIAL ASPHALT, LLC, Docket No. 2014-1655-EAQ-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
 - i. Update operational procedures and conduct employee training to ensure that BMPs and buffer zones around sensitive features are maintained; and
 - ii. Submit a WPAP modification application which reflects the current Site conditions, along with the associated application fee to:

Water Section Manager Austin Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

- b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with Ordering Provisions Nos. 2.a.i and 2.a.ii, in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 2.f below.
- c. Respond completely and adequately, as determined by the TCEQ, to all requests for information concerning the WPAP application within 30 days after the date of such requests or by any other deadline specified in writing.
- d. Within 120 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, obtain approval of a Edwards Aquifer WPAP modification for the Site.

- e. Within 135 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.d, in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 2.f below.
- f. The written certifications shall include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance as required by Ordering Provisions Nos. 2.b and 2.e. The certifications shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section Manager Austin Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes

- aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

For the Commission

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Executive Director	9[2]16 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the a agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the do agree to the terms and conditions specified ther accepting payment for the penalty amount, is mate	e entity indicated below my signature, and I rein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in
 I also understand that failure to comply with the O and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, m A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications Referral of this case to the Attorney General additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, of the interest of the Attorney General's and Automatic referral to the Attorney General's and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by In addition, any falsification of any compliance doc 	submitted; l's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, or to a collection agency; ent actions; s Office of any future enforcement actions; law.
Signature	April 26, 2016 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of INDUSTRIAL ASPHALT, LLC	Title Secretary

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.